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Trees all over the place..... $\quad=\cdots \geqslant$.
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## Quadrangulations and labeled trees

- A planar map is a planar graph that is properly embedded in the sphere modulo orientation-preserving homeomorphisms.
- A quadrangulation has faces of degree 4: represents a gluing of squares.
- There exists a 2-to-1 map [Cori, Vauquelin] [Schaeffer, '99]
$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { rooted quadrangulations } \\ \text { with a distinguished vertex }\end{array}\right\} \leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { rooted plane trees with labels } \\ \text { in } \mathbb{Z} \text { that vary by at most } 1\end{array}\right\}$
- uniform random quadrangulations with $n$ faces $\longleftrightarrow$ uniform plane tree with $n$ edges with a uniform label assignment.
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## Distance statistics in large quadrangulations

- The trees provide an easy way to deduce the fractal dimension: geodesic distance $\sim$ volume $^{1 / 4}$
- Labels encode difference in graph distance to $\bullet$.
- For a uniform vertex $\bullet$ the distance difference $d-d \approx n^{1 / 4}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. [Chassaing, Schaeffer, '02]
- Scaling limit: $\left(\{\right.$ vertices $\left.\}, n^{-1 / 4} d(\cdot, \cdot)\right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(\mathrm{d})}$ Brownian sphere [Le Gall, '10][Miermont, '10]
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- Riemann uniformization: $X \xrightarrow{\text { biholomorphic }} \mathbb{H} / G$ for some subgroup $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H})=\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ of the Möbius transformations.
- $\mathbb{H}$ comes with a natural Poincaré metric: $\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{2}+\mathrm{dy}}{\mathrm{y}^{2}}$.
- $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ acts by isometries $\longrightarrow X$ comes naturally equipped with complete metric of curvature -1 : hyperbolic surface with cusps.
- Moduli space:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{0, n}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Riemann surfaces } \\
\text { with } n \text { punctures }
\end{array}\right\}_{/ \text {biholomorphism }} \leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { hyperbolic surfaces } \\
\text { with } n \text { cusps }
\end{array}\right\}_{/ \text {isometry }}
$$

- $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{M}_{0, n}\right)=2 n-6$
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- Weil-Petersson symplectic 2 -form $\omega$ on $\mathcal{M}_{0, n}$
$\longrightarrow$ non-degenerate $(2 n-6)$-form $\mu_{n}=\frac{\omega^{n-3}}{(n-3)!}$.
- Weil-Petersson volume: $V_{n}=\int_{\mathcal{M}_{0, n}} \mu_{n}<\infty$.
- Wolpert '83, Penner '92: $V_{4}=\pi^{2}$
- Zograf '95: $\mathcal{Z}(x)=\sum_{n \geq 3} \frac{x^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} V_{n}, \quad x=\frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{Z}(x)}}{\pi} J_{1}(2 \pi \sqrt{\mathcal{Z}(x))}$.
- Mirzakhani '06: recursion relations for all genus \& boundary lengths
- Growth of $V_{n}$ similar to quadrangulations:

$$
\frac{V_{n}}{n!} \sim c n^{-7 / 2} x_{*}^{-n}, \quad x_{*}=0.063 \ldots
$$

$\#\{$ rooted quadrangulations $\} / n \sim c^{\prime} n^{-7 / 2} 12^{n}$. Universality?

- Random Riemann surface with $n$ cusps: treat $V_{n}$ as a canonical partition function, i.e. $\mathbb{P}_{n}(\cdot)=\frac{1}{V_{n}} \mu_{n}(\cdot)$.
- Also consider grand-canonical partition function: $\mathcal{Z}(x)=\sum_{n \geq 3} \frac{x^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} V_{n}, \quad\left(x \approx e^{-2 \pi \Lambda}\right) \quad$ distribution $\mathbb{P}_{x}(\cdot)$.
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- Several results on random Riemann surfaces for large genus $g$ :
- Mirzakhani, '13:
$\langle$ Diameter $\rangle \approx\langle$ length shortest separating curve $\rangle \approx \log g$ as $g \rightarrow \infty$.
- Guth, Parlier, Young, '11: total length of pants $\geq g^{7 / 6}$ with high probability as $g \rightarrow \infty$.
- Little known about random Riemann surfaces as $n \rightarrow \infty$ beyond enumeration.
- Problem: until now no good algorithm to sample random Riemann surfaces.
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## Theorem (TB, '19+)

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left[\frac{D_{i j}^{\epsilon}-D_{i k}^{\epsilon}}{n^{1 / 4}}\right] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 3.429137077 \ldots \quad \text { under } \mathbb{P}_{n}
$$

- Open problem: $\left(\{1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}\}, n^{-1 / 4}\left(D_{i j}^{\epsilon}\right)_{i, j}\right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(\mathrm{d})}$ Brownian sphere?
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## Building blocks?

- Quadrangulations: gluing of identical squares.

Riemann surfaces: gluing of identical ideal triangles.

- Local parametrization of $\mathcal{M}_{0, n}$ : shear coordinates $z_{e}$ on $3 n-6$ edges with $n$ constraints (one per cusp).
- Problem ("Moduli space vs Teichmüller space"):
- each surface admits $\infty^{\text {ly }}$ many triangulations;
- a fixed combinatorial triangulation encodes each surface $\infty^{\text {ly }}$ often.
- Determine a canonical triangulation!

- Distinguish puncture $\star$ with label 0 and determine its Voronoï diagram / cut locus.

- Distinguish puncture $\star$ with label 0 and determine its Voronoï diagram / cut locus.

- Distinguish puncture $\star$ with label 0 and determine its Voronoï diagram / cut locus.

- Distinguish puncture $\star$ with label 0 and determine its Voronoï diagram / cut locus.

- Distinguish puncture $\star$ with label 0 and determine its Voronoï diagram / cut locus.

- Distinguish puncture $\star$ with label 0 and determine its Voronoï diagram / cut locus.

- Distinguish puncture $\star$ with label 0 and determine its Voronoï diagram / cut locus.

- Distinguish puncture $\star$ with label 0 and determine its Voronoï diagram / cut locus.
- It is a tree with $n-1$ labeled leaves analogous to Schaeffer's bijection.

- Distinguish puncture $\star$ with label 0 and determine its Voronoï diagram / cut locus.
- It is a tree with $n-1$ labeled leaves analogous to Schaeffer's bijection.

- Distinguish puncture $\star$ with label 0 and determine its Voronoï diagram / cut locus.
- It is a tree with $n-1$ labeled leaves analogous to Schaeffer's bijection.

- Distinguish puncture $\star$ with label 0 and determine its Voronoï diagram / cut locus.
- It is a tree with $n-1$ labeled leaves analogous to Schaeffer's bijection.
- The dual geodesics determine an ideal triangulation.

- Distinguish puncture $\star$ with label 0 and determine its Voronoï diagram / cut locus.
- It is a tree with $n-1$ labeled leaves analogous to Schaeffer's bijection.
- The dual geodesics determine an ideal triangulation.

- Distinguish puncture $\star$ with label 0 and determine its Voronoï diagram / cut locus.
- It is a tree with $n-1$ labeled leaves analogous to Schaeffer's bijection.
- The dual geodesics determine an ideal triangulation.

- Distinguish puncture $\star$ with label 0 and determine its Voronoï diagram / cut locus.
- It is a tree with $n-1$ labeled leaves analogous to Schaeffer's bijection.
- The dual geodesics determine an ideal triangulation.

- Distinguish puncture $\star$ with label 0 and determine its Voronoï diagram / cut locus.
- It is a tree with $n-1$ labeled leaves analogous to Schaeffer's bijection.
- The dual geodesics determine an ideal triangulation.
- Gluing is unique if triangles are decorated by horocycle of $*$.

- Distinguish puncture $\star$ with label 0 and determine its Voronoï diagram / cut locus.
- It is a tree with $n-1$ labeled leaves analogous to Schaeffer's bijection.
- The dual geodesics determine an ideal triangulation.
- Gluing is unique if triangles are decorated by horocycle of $\star$.
- A decorated triangle is determined by distances $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}$, and two triangles can be glued iff $\ell_{1}=\ell_{1}^{\prime}$.

- Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a labeled plane binary tree with $n-1$ leaves.
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$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { ideal triangles with horocycles } \\ \text { that admit equidistant point }\end{array}\right\} \leftrightarrow\{$ Euclidean triangles $\}$
preserving angles between bisectors. [Penner, '95], [Bobenko, Pinkall,
Springborn, '10]
- The Weil-Petersson 2-form is $\omega=-2 \sum_{\text {corners }} \mathrm{d} \log \lambda_{i} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \log \lambda_{j}$ [Penner, '92]
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\mathcal{P}_{2+1}=T \mathcal{T}_{g} / \mathrm{MCG}=T \mathcal{M}_{g}
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The Weil-Petersson symplectic structure on $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ induces correct Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{P}_{2+1}$
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## Importance for $(2+1)$-dimensional (quantum) gravity?

- $S=n$-punctured sphere $\longrightarrow S \times \mathbb{R}_{+}=$universe with $n$ massless particles
- $\mathcal{P}_{n} \cong T \mathcal{M}_{0, n} \cong T$ \{balanced trees with $n-2$ leaves $\}$.
- Natural Poisson structure on $T$ \{balanced trees with $n-2$ leaves\}!
- Quantizing $2+1 \mathrm{D}$ gravity $\longleftrightarrow$ quantizing balanced trees.



## Conclusion

- The bijection between genus-0 punctured Riemann surfaces and balanced trees provides
- a convenient way to compute Weil-Petersson volumes;
- detailed information on global distance statistics;
- a potential avenue to tree bijections in higher dimensions;
- efficient simulation of random Riemann surfaces via Boltzmann sampling of balanced trees!


