Effective Dynamics in non-perturbative quantum gravity

Timothy Budd

QUIST & Thesis talk, March 15, 2012 Institute for Theoretical Physics









・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ



 Quantum mechanics according to Feynman



 Gravity according to Einstein



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ



 Quantum mechanics according to Feynman



 Gravity according to Einstein



Can we combine these pillars of modern theoretical physics?



 Quantum mechanics according to Feynman



 Gravity according to Einstein



◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> □目

- Can we combine these pillars of modern theoretical physics?
- First try pure gravity: sum over space-time geometries.



 Quantum mechanics according to Feynman



 Gravity according to Einstein



- Can we combine these pillars of modern theoretical physics?
- First try pure gravity: sum over space-time geometries.
- ► Hope: UV complete model gravity + reproduces general relativity.



 Quantum mechanics according to Feynman



 Gravity according to Einstein



- Can we combine these pillars of modern theoretical physics?
- First try pure gravity: sum over space-time geometries.
- ► Hope: UV complete model gravity + reproduces general relativity.
- This talk: given a microscopic model (CDT), establish its effective dynamics.

Outline



- Introduction to CDT in 2+1 dimensions
- Previous results for topology $S^2 imes \mathbb{R}$: spatial volume
- Effective action for CDT: conformal model problem
- Alternative to Einstein–Hilbert
- Moduli as observables for CDT with topology $T^2 imes \mathbb{R}$
- Monte Carlo measurements vs ansatz
- Summary and outlook

 Causal Dynamical Triangulation is a regularization of the Euclidean path integral over geometries

$$Z = \int \frac{\mathcal{D}g}{Diff} e^{-S_{EH}[g]} \quad \rightarrow \quad Z_{CDT} = \sum_{\text{triangulations } T} \frac{1}{C_T} e^{-S_{CDT}[T]}.$$





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

 Causal Dynamical Triangulation is a regularization of the Euclidean path integral over geometries

$$Z = \int \frac{\mathcal{D}g}{Diff} e^{-S_{EH}[g]} \quad \rightarrow \quad Z_{CDT} = \sum_{\text{triangulations } T} \frac{1}{C_T} e^{-S_{CDT}[T]}.$$



► Triangulations *T* are built from equilateral tetrahedra. The sum is over inequivalent ways of putting them together.



 Causal Dynamical Triangulation is a regularization of the Euclidean path integral over geometries

$$Z = \int rac{\mathcal{D}g}{Diff} e^{-S_{EH}[g]} \quad o \quad Z_{CDT} = \sum_{ ext{triangulations } T} rac{1}{C_T} e^{-S_{CDT}[T]}.$$



- ► Triangulations *T* are built from equilateral tetrahedra. The sum is over inequivalent ways of putting them together.
- "Causal" in CDT means that we only allow triangulations that are foliated by 2D triangulations with constant topology.



 Causal Dynamical Triangulation is a regularization of the Euclidean path integral over geometries

$$Z = \int \frac{\mathcal{D}g}{Diff} e^{-S_{EH}[g]} \quad \rightarrow \quad Z_{CDT} = \sum_{\text{triangulations } T} \frac{1}{C_T} e^{-S_{CDT}[T]}.$$



- ► Triangulations *T* are built from equilateral tetrahedra. The sum is over inequivalent ways of putting them together.
- "Causal" in CDT means that we only allow triangulations that are foliated by 2D triangulations with constant topology.
- ► The Euclidean Einstein–Hilbert action $S[g] = -\kappa \int d^3x \sqrt{g}(R 2\Lambda)$ evaluated on the piecewise linear geometry leads to

$$S_{CDT}[T] = k_3 N_3 - k_0 N_0.$$



Monte Carlo methods for CDT

The fixed volume partition function reads

$$Z(N_3) = \sum_T \frac{1}{C_T} e^{-k_0 N_0}.$$





Monte Carlo methods for CDT

The fixed volume partition function reads

$$Z(N_3)=\sum_{T}\frac{1}{C_T}e^{-k_0N_0}.$$

• The expectation value of an observable $\mathcal{O}: T \to \mathcal{O}(T)$ is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{N_3} = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_T \frac{\mathcal{O}(T)}{C_T} e^{-k_0 N_0}$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Monte Carlo methods for CDT

The fixed volume partition function reads

$$Z(N_3) = \sum_{T} \frac{1}{C_T} e^{-k_0 N_0}.$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{N_3} = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_T \frac{\mathcal{O}(T)}{C_T} e^{-k_0 N_0}.$$



▶ We use Monte Carlo methods to approximate these:

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{N_3} \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}(T_i),$$

where the $\{T_i\}$ is a large set of random triangulations generated by applying a large number of random update moves satisfying a detailed balance condition.

CDT with spherical spatial topology

 Take periodic time and spatial topology S²









• $\langle V(t) \rangle$ is classical solution to

$$S_{eff}[V] = c_0 \int dt \left(rac{\dot{V}^2}{V} - 2c_1 V
ight).$$



• $\langle V(t) \rangle$ is classical solution to

$$S_{eff}[V] = c_0 \int dt \left(rac{\dot{V}^2}{V} - 2c_1 V
ight).$$

► Also correlations $\langle V(t)V(t')\rangle - \langle V(t)\rangle\langle V(t')\rangle \propto \left(\frac{\delta^2 S_{eff}}{\delta V(t)\delta V(t')}\Big|_{\langle V(t)\rangle}\right)^{-1}$ well-described by this action for suitable values $c_0, c_1 > 0$.



► $S_{eff}[V] = c_0 \int dt \left(\frac{\dot{v}^2}{V} - 2c_1 V\right)$ is of the same form as the minisuperspace action obtained by evaluating Einstein–Hilbert on spherical cosmology $ds^2 = dt^2 + V(t)d\Omega^2$,

$$S_{EH} = \kappa \int d^3x \sqrt{g}(-R+2\Lambda) = -\kappa \int dt \left(rac{\dot{V}^2}{V} - 2\Lambda V
ight)$$



• $S_{eff}[V] = c_0 \int dt \left(\frac{\dot{V}^2}{V} - 2c_1 V\right)$ is of the same form as the minisuperspace action obtained by evaluating Einstein–Hilbert on spherical cosmology $ds^2 = dt^2 + V(t)d\Omega^2$,

$$S_{EH} = \kappa \int d^3x \sqrt{g}(-R+2\Lambda) = -\kappa \int dt \left(\frac{\dot{V}^2}{V} - 2\Lambda V\right)$$

This is non-trivial! We did not impose homogeneity/isotropy from the start. A (Euclidean) de Sitter space seems to emerge.



• $S_{eff}[V] = c_0 \int dt \left(\frac{\dot{V}^2}{V} - 2c_1 V\right)$ is of the same form as the minisuperspace action obtained by evaluating Einstein–Hilbert on spherical cosmology $ds^2 = dt^2 + V(t)d\Omega^2$,

$$S_{EH} = \kappa \int d^3x \sqrt{g}(-R+2\Lambda) = -\kappa \int dt \left(\frac{\dot{V}^2}{V} - 2\Lambda V\right)$$

- This is non-trivial! We did not impose homogeneity/isotropy from the start. A (Euclidean) de Sitter space seems to emerge.
- How much does this tell us about the "full" effective dynamics of CDT? Is it close to EH? Is the emerging geometry really isotropic?



► $S_{eff}[V] = c_0 \int dt \left(\frac{\dot{V}^2}{V} - 2c_1 V\right)$ is of the same form as the minisuperspace action obtained by evaluating Einstein–Hilbert on spherical cosmology $ds^2 = dt^2 + V(t)d\Omega^2$,

$$S_{EH} = \kappa \int d^3x \sqrt{g}(-R+2\Lambda) = -\kappa \int dt \left(\frac{\dot{V}^2}{V} - 2\Lambda V\right)$$

- This is non-trivial! We did not impose homogeneity/isotropy from the start. A (Euclidean) de Sitter space seems to emerge.
- How much does this tell us about the "full" effective dynamics of CDT? Is it close to EH? Is the emerging geometry really isotropic?
- ► To find out we could study deviations from (V(t)) ∝ cos²(√c₁t) due to anisotropies, or introduce observables that go beyond spatial volume.



► $S_{eff}[V] = c_0 \int dt \left(\frac{\dot{V}^2}{V} - 2c_1 V\right)$ is of the same form as the minisuperspace action obtained by evaluating Einstein–Hilbert on spherical cosmology $ds^2 = dt^2 + V(t)d\Omega^2$,

$$S_{EH} = \kappa \int d^3x \sqrt{g}(-R+2\Lambda) = -\kappa \int dt \left(\frac{\dot{V}^2}{V} - 2\Lambda V\right)$$

- This is non-trivial! We did not impose homogeneity/isotropy from the start. A (Euclidean) de Sitter space seems to emerge.
- How much does this tell us about the "full" effective dynamics of CDT? Is it close to EH? Is the emerging geometry really isotropic?
- ► To find out we could study deviations from (V(t)) ∝ cos²(√c₁t) due to anisotropies, or introduce observables that go beyond spatial volume.

► S_{eff}[V] should have a minimum at its classical solution, since we identify semiclassically



$$Z_{CDT} = \sum_{T} \frac{1}{C_T} e^{-S_{CDT}[T]} \approx \int \left(\prod_{t=0}^T dV(t)\right) e^{-S_{eff}[V(t)]}.$$



S_{eff}[V] should have a minimum at its classical solution, since we identify semiclassically



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$Z_{CDT} = \sum_{T} \frac{1}{C_T} e^{-S_{CDT}[T]} \approx \int \left(\prod_{t=0}^T dV(t)\right) e^{-S_{eff}[V(t)]}.$$

▶ This is true for $S_{eff}[V] = c_0 \int dt \left(\frac{\dot{V}^2}{V} - 2c_1 V\right)$ for fixed 3-volume but not for Einstein–Hilbert action in general: *conformal mode problem*.

S_{eff}[V] should have a minimum at its classical solution, since we
identify semiclassically



$$Z_{CDT} = \sum_{T} \frac{1}{C_T} e^{-S_{CDT}[T]} \approx \int \left(\prod_{t=0}^T dV(t)\right) e^{-S_{eff}[V(t)]}.$$

- ▶ This is true for $S_{eff}[V] = c_0 \int dt \left(\frac{\dot{V}^2}{V} 2c_1 V\right)$ for fixed 3-volume but not for Einstein–Hilbert action in general: *conformal mode problem*.
- Metric in proper-time form, $ds^2 = dt^2 + g_{ab}(t, x)dx^a dx^b$. Then

$$S_{EH}[g_{ab}] = \kappa \int dt \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \left(\frac{1}{4} \dot{g}_{ab} \mathcal{G}^{abcd} \dot{g}_{cd} - R + 2\Lambda \right)$$
(1)

where \mathcal{G}^{abcd} is the Wheeler–DeWitt metric,

$$\mathcal{G}^{abcd} = \frac{1}{2} \left(g^{ac} g^{bd} + g^{ad} g^{bc} \right) - g^{ab} g^{cd}. \tag{2}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

S_{eff}[V] should have a minimum at its classical solution, since we
identify semiclassically

$$Z_{CDT} = \sum_{T} \frac{1}{C_{T}} e^{-S_{CDT}[T]} \approx \int \left(\prod_{t=0}^{T} dV(t)\right) e^{-S_{eff}[V(t)]}.$$

- ▶ This is true for $S_{eff}[V] = c_0 \int dt \left(\frac{\dot{V}^2}{V} 2c_1 V\right)$ for fixed 3-volume but not for Einstein–Hilbert action in general: *conformal mode problem*.
- Metric in proper-time form, $ds^2 = dt^2 + g_{ab}(t, x)dx^a dx^b$. Then

$$S_{EH}[g_{ab}] = \kappa \int dt \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \left(\frac{1}{4} \dot{g}_{ab} \mathcal{G}^{abcd} \dot{g}_{cd} - R + 2\Lambda\right)$$
(1)

where $\mathcal{G}^{\textit{abcd}}$ is the Wheeler–DeWitt metric,

$$\mathcal{G}^{abcd} = \frac{1}{2} \left(g^{ac} g^{bd} + g^{ad} g^{bc} \right) - g^{ab} g^{cd}. \tag{2}$$

Indefinite metric! Positive definite on traceless directions, negative definite on trace/conformal directions in superspace.



► Fixed distance between initial and final boundary and preferred time foliation suggest S_{eff}[g] only needs to be invariant under foliation preserving diffeomorphisms.

- Fixed distance between initial and final boundary and preferred time foliation suggest S_{eff}[g] only needs to be invariant under foliation preserving diffeomorphisms.
- The Einstein–Hilbert action (with N = 1 and $N^a = 0$)

$$S_{EH} = \kappa \int_0^T dt \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} (\dot{g}_{ab} \mathcal{G}^{abcd} \dot{g}_{ab} + R - 2\Lambda)$$

generalizes naturally to

$$S_{ansatz} = \kappa \int_0^T dt \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} (\dot{g}_{ab} \mathcal{G}^{abcd}_\lambda \dot{g}_{ab} - U[g]),$$

in which the most general ultralocal supermetric is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{abcd} = rac{1}{2} \left(g^{ac} g^{bd} + g^{ad} g^{bc}
ight) - \lambda g^{ab} g^{cd}.$$



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

- Fixed distance between initial and final boundary and preferred time foliation suggest S_{eff}[g] only needs to be invariant under foliation preserving diffeomorphisms.
- The Einstein–Hilbert action (with N = 1 and $N^a = 0$)

$$S_{EH} = \kappa \int_0^T dt \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} (\dot{g}_{ab} \mathcal{G}^{abcd} \dot{g}_{ab} + R - 2\Lambda)$$

generalizes naturally to

$$S_{ansatz} = \kappa \int_0^T dt \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} (\dot{g}_{ab} \mathcal{G}^{abcd}_\lambda \dot{g}_{ab} - U[g]),$$

in which the most general ultralocal supermetric is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{abcd} = rac{1}{2} \left(g^{ac} g^{bd} + g^{ad} g^{bc}
ight) - \lambda g^{ab} g^{cd}.$$

• \mathcal{G}_{λ} is positive definite for $\lambda < 1/2$; $\lambda = 1$ in EH.



- ► Fixed distance between initial and final boundary and preferred time foliation suggest S_{eff}[g] only needs to be invariant under foliation preserving diffeomorphisms.
- The Einstein–Hilbert action (with N = 1 and $N^a = 0$)

$$S_{EH} = \kappa \int_0^T dt \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} (\dot{g}_{ab} \mathcal{G}^{abcd} \dot{g}_{ab} + R - 2\Lambda)$$

generalizes naturally to

$$S_{ansatz} = \kappa \int_0^T dt \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} (\dot{g}_{ab} \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{abcd} \dot{g}_{ab} - U[g]),$$

in which the most general ultralocal supermetric is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{abcd} = rac{1}{2} \left(g^{ac} g^{bd} + g^{ad} g^{bc}
ight) - \lambda g^{ab} g^{cd}.$$

- \mathcal{G}_{λ} is positive definite for $\lambda < 1/2$; $\lambda = 1$ in EH.
- We have ended up with an ansatz in the realm of Euclidean (projectable) Hořava–Lifshitz gravity.



New observables



Need observable f : {2d triangulations} → ℝ. Whole journals are dedicated to shape recognition in medical imaging, computer graphics, etc. However the random geometries in CDT are much wilder.



New observables



(日)、

► Need observable f : {2d triangulations} → ℝ. Whole journals are dedicated to shape recognition in medical imaging, computer graphics, etc. However the random geometries in CDT are much wilder.



The conformal structure of a torus! Moduli τ_i (Teichmüller parameters).



New observables



Need observable f : {2d triangulations} → ℝ. Whole journals are dedicated to shape recognition in medical imaging, computer graphics, etc. However the random geometries in CDT are much wilder.



The conformal structure of a torus! Moduli τ_i (Teichmüller parameters).



Moduli on a triangulation

 Replace every edge by a perfect spring: periodic discrete harmonic embedding.





<ロト <回ト < 注ト < 注ト
Moduli on a triangulation

- Replace every edge by a perfect spring: periodic discrete harmonic embedding.
- Invariant under linear transformations. Moduli τ_i correspond to energetically preferred configuration with unit volume. "Most equilateral configuration".







(日) (同) (日) (日)



Moduli on a triangulation

- Replace every edge by a perfect spring: periodic discrete harmonic embedding.
- Invariant under linear transformations. Moduli τ_i correspond to energetically preferred configuration with unit volume. "Most equilateral configuration".











Try periodic boundary conditions T² × S¹. No breaking of time translation symmetry:









・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3

Try periodic boundary conditions T² × S¹. No breaking of time translation symmetry:



We need different boundary conditions to get non-trivial dynamics. Use fixed boundaries at t = 0 and t = T.



► Try periodic boundary conditions T² × S¹. No breaking of time translation symmetry:



- ▶ We need different boundary conditions to get non-trivial dynamics. Use fixed boundaries at t = 0 and t = T.
- Hopf foliation of S^3 ! Maximally different moduli: $\tau(0) = 0$ and $\tau(T) = i\infty$.



Hopf Link





► Try periodic boundary conditions T² × S¹. No breaking of time translation symmetry:



- We need different boundary conditions to get non-trivial dynamics. Use fixed boundaries at t = 0 and t = T.
- Hopf foliation of S^3 ! Maximally different moduli: $\tau(0) = 0$ and $\tau(T) = i\infty$.



Hopf Link





► Try periodic boundary conditions T² × S¹. No breaking of time translation symmetry:



- We need different boundary conditions to get non-trivial dynamics. Use fixed boundaries at t = 0 and t = T.
- Hopf foliation of S^3 ! Maximally different moduli: $\tau(0) = 0$ and $\tau(T) = i\infty$.



Hopf Link





► Try periodic boundary conditions T² × S¹. No breaking of time translation symmetry:



- ▶ We need different boundary conditions to get non-trivial dynamics. Use fixed boundaries at t = 0 and t = T.
- ▶ Hopf foliation of S^{3} ! Maximally different moduli: $\tau(0) = 0$ and $\tau(T) = i\infty$.





900

Try periodic boundary conditions T² × S¹. No breaking of time translation symmetry:



- ▶ We need different boundary conditions to get non-trivial dynamics. Use fixed boundaries at t = 0 and t = T.
- ▶ Hopf foliation of S^3 ! Maximally different moduli: $\tau(0) = 0$ and $\tau(T) = i\infty$.







Comparison with ansatz

Evaluating

$$S_{ansatz} = \kappa \int_0^T dt \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} (\dot{g}_{ab} \mathcal{G}_\lambda^{abcd} \dot{g}_{cd} - U[g]),$$

on spatially flat cosmology $ds^2 = dt^2 + V(t)\hat{g}_{ab}(\tau)dx^a dx^b$, $\hat{g}_{ab}(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{\tau_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \tau_1 \\ \tau_1 & \tau_1^2 + \tau_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$ gives

$$S[V,\tau] = \kappa \int dt \Big((\frac{1}{2} - \lambda) \frac{\dot{V}^2}{V} + \frac{V}{2} \frac{\dot{\tau}_1^2 + \dot{\tau}_2^2}{\tau_2^2} - U(V,\tau) \Big).$$



Comparison with ansatz

Evaluating

$$S_{ansatz} = \kappa \int_0^T dt \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} (\dot{g}_{ab} \mathcal{G}^{abcd}_{\lambda} \dot{g}_{cd} - U[g]),$$

on spatially flat cosmology $ds^2 = dt^2 + V(t)\hat{g}_{ab}(\tau)dx^adx^b$, $\hat{g}_{ab}(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{\tau_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \tau_1 \\ \tau_1 & \tau_1^2 + \tau_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$ gives

$$S[V,\tau] = \kappa \int dt \Big((\frac{1}{2} - \lambda) \frac{\dot{V}^2}{V} + \frac{V}{2} \frac{\dot{\tau}_1^2 + \dot{\tau}_2^2}{\tau_2^2} - U(V,\tau) \Big).$$

S_{eff}[V, τ] should describe all ⟨V(t)⟩, ⟨τ_i(t)⟩, ⟨τ_i(t)τ_j(t')⟩,.... Too ambitious. What is U(V, τ)? Focus on kinetic term.



Comparison with ansatz

Evaluating

$$S_{ansatz} = \kappa \int_0^T dt \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} (\dot{g}_{ab} \mathcal{G}^{abcd}_\lambda \dot{g}_{cd} - U[g]),$$

on spatially flat cosmology $ds^2 = dt^2 + V(t)\hat{g}_{ab}(\tau)dx^adx^b$, $\hat{g}_{ab}(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{\tau_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \tau_1 \\ \tau_1 & \tau_1^2 + \tau_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$ gives

$$S[V,\tau] = \kappa \int dt \Big((\frac{1}{2} - \lambda) \frac{\dot{V}^2}{V} + \frac{V}{2} \frac{\dot{\tau}_1^2 + \dot{\tau}_2^2}{\tau_2^2} - U(V,\tau) \Big).$$

- ► $S_{eff}[V, \tau]$ should describe all $\langle V(t) \rangle$, $\langle \tau_i(t) \rangle$, $\langle \tau_i(t) \tau_j(t') \rangle$,.... Too ambitious. What is $U(V, \tau)$? Focus on kinetic term.
- Prefactors in kinetic term related to inverse of correlators:

$$(\langle \tau_i(t)\tau_j(t')\rangle - \langle \tau_i(t)\rangle\langle \tau_j(t')\rangle)^{-1} \propto \left(\frac{\mathsf{P}_i(t)}{dt^2} + \cdots\right)\delta(t-t')\delta_{ij}$$



・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

Compare P_i(t) and ⟨V(t)/τ₂(t)²⟩ in CDT simulation.



・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

э

- Compare P_i(t) and ⟨V(t)/τ₂(t)²⟩ in CDT simulation.
- Ansatz wrong or homogeneity is a bad approximation.



э

- Compare $P_i(t)$ and $\langle V(t)/\tau_2(t)^2 \rangle$ in CDT simulation.
- Ansatz wrong or homogeneity is a bad approximation.
- We can do better!



(日)、

ъ

Depends sensitively on the flatness.

- Compare $P_i(t)$ and $\langle V(t)/\tau_2(t)^2 \rangle$ in CDT simulation. 3000
- Ansatz wrong or homogeneity is a bad approximation.
- We can do better!



Depends sensitively on the flatness.

• A[g] is the expected change in τ under a random deformation δg_{ab} (normalized w.r.t. \mathcal{G})

$$A[g] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{4\tau_2^2} \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \frac{\delta \tau_i}{\delta g_{ab}} \mathcal{G}_{abcd} \frac{\delta \tau_j}{\delta g_{cd}}$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

► A[g] can be worked out explicitly for a general metric g_{ab} on the torus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}[g] &= \frac{\delta_{ij}}{4\tau_2^2} \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \frac{\delta \tau_i}{\delta g_{ab}} \mathcal{G}_{abcd}^{\lambda} \frac{\delta \tau_j}{\delta g_{cd}} \\ &= \frac{\int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \exp(2\Delta^{-1}R)}{\left(\int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \exp(\Delta^{-1}R)\right)^2} \end{aligned}$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

► A[g] can be worked out explicitly for a general metric g_{ab} on the torus

$$A[g] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{4\tau_2^2} \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \frac{\delta \tau_i}{\delta g_{ab}} \mathcal{G}_{abcd}^{\lambda} \frac{\delta \tau_j}{\delta g_{cd}}$$
$$= \frac{\int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \exp(2\Delta^{-1}R)}{\left(\int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \exp(\Delta^{-1}R)\right)^2} \ge \frac{1}{V}$$



► A[g] can be worked out explicitly for a general metric g_{ab} on the torus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}[g] &= \frac{\delta_{ij}}{4\tau_2^2} \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \frac{\delta \tau_i}{\delta g_{ab}} \mathcal{G}_{abcd}^{\lambda} \frac{\delta \tau_j}{\delta g_{cd}} \\ &= \frac{\int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \exp(2\Delta^{-1}R)}{\left(\int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \exp(\Delta^{-1}R)\right)^2} \geq \frac{1}{V} \end{aligned}$$

A natural discretization of A[g] to triangulations T is A[T] = ∑_{σ∈T} area(σ)², where area(σ) is the area of the triangle σ in the harmonic embedding of T in the plane.



• Retry: compare P_i and $\langle 1/(A(t)\tau_2(t)^2)\rangle$.





• Retry: compare P_i and $\langle 1/(A(t)\tau_2(t)^2)\rangle$.





(日)、

ъ

э

• The fitted overall factor corresponds to κ ,

$$S[V,\tau] = \kappa \int dt \left((\frac{1}{2} - \lambda) \frac{\dot{V}^2}{V} + \frac{1}{2A} \frac{\dot{\tau}_1^2 + \dot{\tau}_2^2}{\tau_2^2} - U(V,\tau) \right)$$

• Retry: compare P_i and $\langle 1/(A(t)\tau_2(t)^2)\rangle$.





(日)、

ъ

• The fitted overall factor corresponds to κ ,

$$S[V,\tau] = \kappa \int dt \Big((\frac{1}{2} - \lambda) \frac{\dot{V}^2}{V} + \frac{1}{2A} \frac{\dot{\tau}_1^2 + \dot{\tau}_2^2}{\tau_2^2} - U(V,\tau) \Big).$$

• Similar analysis for spatial volume V(t) leads to a measurement of $\kappa(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda)$. Combining both we get a value $\lambda \approx 0.22$ (for coupling $k_0 = 2.5$).



We can perform this analysis for various couplings k₀ (≈ bare Newton's constant).



<ロト <回ト < 注ト < 注ト

æ



We can perform this analysis for various couplings k₀ (≈ bare Newton's constant).

- ► $k_0 \rightarrow k_0^* \approx 5.6$ is CDT phase transition: number of 22-simplices drops to zero.
- ▶ Plot shows that as $k_0 \rightarrow k_0^*$ the spatial volumes V(t), V(t+1) decouple, while the moduli $\tau_i(t), \tau_i(t+1)$ remain coupled!



(日)、





- k₀ → k₀^{*} ≈ 5.6 is CDT phase transition: number of 22-simplices drops to zero.
- ▶ Plot shows that as $k_0 \rightarrow k_0^*$ the spatial volumes V(t), V(t+1) decouple, while the moduli $\tau_i(t), \tau_i(t+1)$ remain coupled!
- Possible explanation: moduli are topological degrees of freedom.



イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト



- We can perform this analysis for various couplings k₀ (≈ bare Newton's constant).
- k₀ → k₀^{*} ≈ 5.6 is CDT phase transition: number of 22-simplices drops to zero.
- ▶ Plot shows that as $k_0 \rightarrow k_0^*$ the spatial volumes V(t), V(t+1) decouple, while the moduli $\tau_i(t), \tau_i(t+1)$ remain coupled!
- Possible explanation: moduli are topological degrees of freedom.
- However, seems to hold more generally for trace vs traceless d.o.f. in g_{ab}. See extrinsic curvature measurements in chapter 6 of my thesis.



Summary



- ▶ In order to describe the measurements of the moduli in CDT with topology $T^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ we have introduced a non-covariant ansatz for an effective action.
- ► The correlations in V and \(\tau\) are compatible with a kinetic term given by a generalized Wheeler–DeWitt metric \(\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}\) with \(\lambda\) < 1/2 (recall that \(\lambda\) = 1 in GR).
- This strengthens an earlier observed connection between CDT and Hořava–Lifshitz gravity, a potentially renormalizable non-covariant generalization of GR.





Construct full effective action S[V(t), τ(t)]. What is U[V, τ] and how does A[g] scale with V?





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Construct full effective action S[V(t), τ(t)]. What is U[V, τ] and how does A[g] scale with V?
- Can we better understand CDT close to the phase transition?



- Construct full effective action S[V(t), τ(t)]. What is U[V, τ] and how does A[g] scale with V?
- Can we better understand CDT close to the phase transition?
- Is there any way to get rid of the spurious conformal degree of freedom?



- Construct full effective action S[V(t), τ(t)]. What is U[V, τ] and how does A[g] scale with V?
- Can we better understand CDT close to the phase transition?
- Is there any way to get rid of the spurious conformal degree of freedom?
- Having (more) large scale observables helps when studying renormalization. Outcomes of measurements should be invariant.



- Construct full effective action S[V(t), τ(t)]. What is U[V, τ] and how does A[g] scale with V?
- Can we better understand CDT close to the phase transition?
- Is there any way to get rid of the spurious conformal degree of freedom?
- Having (more) large scale observables helps when studying renormalization. Outcomes of measurements should be invariant.

Don't forget: Tuesday at 2:30 PM, my thesis defense! Thanks!

Appendices



(ロ)、

Measurement of τ in the continuum

Any metric g_{ab} on the torus is conformally flat and up to diffeomorphisms the flat unit-volume metrics are given by

$$\hat{g}_{ab}(au, extsf{x}) = rac{1}{ au_2} egin{pmatrix} 1 & au_1 \ au_1 & au_1^2 + au_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$



(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQの

Measurement of τ in the continuum



Any metric g_{ab} on the torus is conformally flat and up to diffeomorphisms the flat unit-volume metrics are given by

$$\hat{g}_{ab}(au, extbf{x}) = rac{1}{ au_2} egin{pmatrix} 1 & au_1 \ au_1 & au_1^2 + au_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

► How do we find the "periodic" coordinates $x^1, x^2 \in [0, 1)$ such that $ds^2 = \Omega^2(x)\hat{g}_{ab}dx^adx^b$?

Measurement of $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ in the continuum



Any metric g_{ab} on the torus is conformally flat and up to diffeomorphisms the flat unit-volume metrics are given by

$$\hat{g}_{ab}(au, extbf{x}) = rac{1}{ au_2} egin{pmatrix} 1 & au_1 \ au_1 & au_1^2 + au_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ► How do we find the "periodic" coordinates $x^1, x^2 \in [0, 1)$ such that $ds^2 = \Omega^2(x)\hat{g}_{ab}dx^adx^b$?
- The 1-forms α¹ = dx¹ and α² = dx² are special: they form a basis of the space of harmonic forms, i.e. dαⁱ = δαⁱ = 0 or Δαⁱ = 0 with

 $\Delta = d\delta + \delta d \quad (\text{Hodge Laplacian})$

d exterior derivative, δ its adjoint w.r.t. standard inner-product $\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \int \phi \wedge *\psi$.
Measurement of $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ in the continuum



Any metric g_{ab} on the torus is conformally flat and up to diffeomorphisms the flat unit-volume metrics are given by

$$\hat{g}_{ab}(au, extbf{x}) = rac{1}{ au_2} egin{pmatrix} 1 & au_1 \ au_1 & au_1^2 + au_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ► How do we find the "periodic" coordinates $x^1, x^2 \in [0, 1)$ such that $ds^2 = \Omega^2(x)\hat{g}_{ab}dx^adx^b$?
- The 1-forms α¹ = dx¹ and α² = dx² are special: they form a basis of the space of harmonic forms, i.e. dαⁱ = δαⁱ = 0 or Δαⁱ = 0 with

 $\Delta = d\delta + \delta d \quad (\text{Hodge Laplacian})$

d exterior derivative, δ its adjoint w.r.t. standard inner-product $\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \int \phi \wedge *\psi$.



• Given generators γ_j , the α^i are uniquely determined by $\int_{\gamma_i} \alpha^i = \delta_j^i$.

・ロト ・ 日下 ・ 日下 ・ 日下 ・ 今日・

Measurement of $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ in the continuum



Any metric g_{ab} on the torus is conformally flat and up to diffeomorphisms the flat unit-volume metrics are given by

$$\hat{g}_{ab}(au, extbf{x}) = rac{1}{ au_2} egin{pmatrix} 1 & au_1 \ au_1 & au_1^2 + au_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ► How do we find the "periodic" coordinates $x^1, x^2 \in [0, 1)$ such that $ds^2 = \Omega^2(x)\hat{g}_{ab}dx^adx^b$?
- The 1-forms α¹ = dx¹ and α² = dx² are special: they form a basis of the space of harmonic forms, i.e. dαⁱ = δαⁱ = 0 or Δαⁱ = 0 with

 $\Delta = d\delta + \delta d \quad (\text{Hodge Laplacian})$

d exterior derivative, δ its adjoint w.r.t. standard inner-product $\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \int \phi \wedge *\psi$.



• Given generators γ_j , the α^i are uniquely determined by $\int_{\gamma_i} \alpha^i = \delta_j^i$.

$$\bullet \ \tau = -\frac{\langle \alpha^1, \alpha^2 \rangle}{\langle \alpha^2, \alpha^2 \rangle} + i \sqrt{\frac{\langle \alpha^1, \alpha^1 \rangle}{\langle \alpha^2, \alpha^2 \rangle} - \left(\frac{\langle \alpha^1, \alpha^2 \rangle}{\langle \alpha^2, \alpha^2 \rangle}\right)^2}.$$

くして、 山 ・ 山 ・ 山 ・ ・ 山 ・ ・ 日 ・

Measurement of τ for torus triangulations

Recipe:



[Ambjørn, Barkley, TB, arXiv:1110.4649]

- Determine pair of curves γ_j that generate fundamental group.
- Find the 2-dimensional kernel of Δ .
- Determine basis α_j such that $\int_{\gamma_i} \alpha^i = \delta_j^i$.

• Compute
$$\tau = -\frac{\langle \alpha^1, \alpha^2 \rangle}{\langle \alpha^2, \alpha^2 \rangle} + i \sqrt{\frac{\langle \alpha^1, \alpha^1 \rangle}{\langle \alpha^2, \alpha^2 \rangle} - \left(\frac{\langle \alpha^1, \alpha^2 \rangle}{\langle \alpha^2, \alpha^2 \rangle}\right)^2}.$$

Measurement of τ for torus triangulations

Recipe:



[Ambjørn, Barkley, TB, arXiv:1110.4649]

- Determine pair of curves γ_j that generate fundamental group.
- Find the 2-dimensional kernel of Δ .
- Determine basis α_j such that $\int_{\gamma_i} \alpha^i = \delta_j^i$.

• Compute
$$\tau = -\frac{\langle \alpha^1, \alpha^2 \rangle}{\langle \alpha^2, \alpha^2 \rangle} + i \sqrt{\frac{\langle \alpha^1, \alpha^1 \rangle}{\langle \alpha^2, \alpha^2 \rangle} - \left(\frac{\langle \alpha^1, \alpha^2 \rangle}{\langle \alpha^2, \alpha^2 \rangle}\right)^2}.$$

We need discrete differential forms! We will borrow them from the theory of simplicial complexes.

Measurement of τ for torus triangulations

Recipe:

[Ambjørn, Barkley, TB, arXiv:1110.4649]

- Determine pair of curves γ_j that generate fundamental group.
- Find the 2-dimensional kernel of Δ .
- Determine basis α_j such that $\int_{\gamma_i} \alpha^i = \delta_j^i$.

• Compute
$$\tau = -\frac{\langle \alpha^1, \alpha^2 \rangle}{\langle \alpha^2, \alpha^2 \rangle} + i \sqrt{\frac{\langle \alpha^1, \alpha^1 \rangle}{\langle \alpha^2, \alpha^2 \rangle} - \left(\frac{\langle \alpha^1, \alpha^2 \rangle}{\langle \alpha^2, \alpha^2 \rangle}\right)^2}.$$

- We need discrete differential forms! We will borrow them from the theory of simplicial complexes.
- Once we have these ingredients we can construct discrete conformal maps:



- In 2d triangulations we have
 - Vertices: 0-simplices denoted by i,
 - Edges: 1-simplices denoted by (ij),
 - Triangles: 2-simplices denoted by (ijk).



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ



- In 2d triangulations we have
 - Vertices: 0-simplices denoted by i,
 - Edges: 1-simplices denoted by (ij),
 - Triangles: 2-simplices denoted by (ijk).



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 A discrete *p*-form φ assigns a real number φ_σ to each (oriented) *p*-simplex σ.



- In 2d triangulations we have
 - Vertices: 0-simplices denoted by i,
 - Edges: 1-simplices denoted by (ij),
 - Triangles: 2-simplices denoted by (ijk).



- A discrete *p*-form φ assigns a real number φ_σ to each (oriented) *p*-simplex σ.
- Exterior derivative on 1-forms: $(d\phi)_{(ijk)} = \phi_{(ij)} + \phi_{(jk)} + \phi_{(ki)}$
- Divergence on 1-forms: $(\delta \phi)_j = \sum_{\text{edges } (ij)} \phi_{(ij)}$



- In 2d triangulations we have
 - Vertices: 0-simplices denoted by i,
 - Edges: 1-simplices denoted by (ij),
 - Triangles: 2-simplices denoted by (ijk).



- A discrete *p*-form φ assigns a real number φ_σ to each (oriented) *p*-simplex σ.
- Exterior derivative on 1-forms: $(d\phi)_{(ijk)} = \phi_{(ij)} + \phi_{(jk)} + \phi_{(ki)}$
- Divergence on 1-forms: $(\delta \phi)_j = \sum_{\text{edges } (ij)} \phi_{(ij)}$
- More generally: $(d\psi)(\sigma_{p+1}) = \sum_{\sigma_p \in \sigma_{p+1}} (-1)^{\sigma_p} \psi(\sigma_p).$
- δ adjoint of d w.r.t. $\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \sum_{\sigma} \phi(\sigma) \psi(\sigma)$.



- In 2d triangulations we have
 - Vertices: 0-simplices denoted by i,
 - Edges: 1-simplices denoted by (ij),
 - Triangles: 2-simplices denoted by (ijk).



- A discrete *p*-form φ assigns a real number φ_σ to each (oriented) *p*-simplex σ.
- Exterior derivative on 1-forms: $(d\phi)_{(ijk)} = \phi_{(ij)} + \phi_{(jk)} + \phi_{(ki)}$
- Divergence on 1-forms: $(\delta \phi)_j = \sum_{\text{edges } (ij)} \phi_{(ij)}$
- More generally: $(d\psi)(\sigma_{p+1}) = \sum_{\sigma_p \in \sigma_{p+1}} (-1)^{\sigma_p} \psi(\sigma_p).$
- δ adjoint of d w.r.t. $\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \sum_{\sigma} \phi(\sigma) \psi(\sigma)$.
- Δ = dδ + δd becomes a matrix of which we can determine the nullspace Δα = 0 (⇔ dα = 0 and δα = 0)

